August 10, 2005

The regular meeting of the Santa Rosa County Building Code Board of Adjustments and Appeals was held August 10, 2005, at 3:00 p.m. in the conference room of the Santa Rosa County Building Inspection Department located at 6051 Old Bagdad Highway, Milton, FL 32583. Board members present were Mr. Frank Harold, Chairman, Mr. Danny Holt, Vice Chairman, Mr. James Larry Hall and Mr. William J. Blackman.

Building Inspection Department staff in attendance was Mr. Tim Tolbert, Building Official; Mr. Skip Tompkins, Compliance Division Superintendent; Mr. Randy Jones, Compliance Investigator and Mrs. Robyn Leverton, Office Assistant.

Mr. Tom Dannheisser, County Attorney, was not present for the meeting.

Mr. Frank Harold, Chairman, called the meeting to order at 3:05 p.m.

The sound system was inoperable; therefore there is no cassette tape copy of this meeting.

Approval of Agenda:

There was an amendment to the agenda; the Joe Sizemore vs. Steven Smith d/b/a/ Integrity Contractors probable cause case will be postponed until the next meeting. Mr. Hall made a motion to accept the amended agenda. Mr. Blackman seconded the motion. The motion carried with a unanimous vote.

Approval of Minutes:

Mr. Blackman made a motion to approve the minutes from the April 13, 2005 meeting. Mr. Hall seconded the motion. The motion carried with a unanimous vote.

Next Meeting:

The next regularly scheduled meeting is Wednesday, September 14, 2005, at 3:00 p.m. in the Building Inspection Department Conference Room.

New Business (Probable Cause)

John & Theresa Shoemaker VS Steven Brett Currence d/b/a Dolphin Custom Homes

Mr. Jones introduced the case. He explained that Mr. Currence is a state certified contractor therefore the Board can only reprimand for fraud or willful code violations. The building code 104.5 regarding 'Conditions of a permit' (timeframe) could be the violation since the last passed inspection was in May of 2004. Randy showed photos of the Shoemaker residence that is currently under construction. A discussion ensued based on the photos.

Mr. & Mrs. Shoemaker were in attendance to present their case. They explained the notebooks (compilation of information that they presented to the Board members and Building Dept. staff prior to the meeting) as well as the costs involved in regards to the delay of the construction of their new home. The discussion ensued with questions as to the draws and the interest being paid on the construction loan.

Mr. Brett Currence was present and spoke. He stated that his only excuse for the delay in construction was the framers that he had working on the job. He said that the roofers will felt this week then an inspection will be called in. The Board asked Mr. Currence questions and a discussion once again ensued. Mr. Currence stated that the project is to be complete in three (3) months.

Mr. Blackman made a motion that the case be tabled until the next meeting, Mr. Hall seconded the motion. The motion passed with a unanimous decision.

The Board requested that a county inspector check the progress of the project prior to the next meeting.

New Business (Probable Cause)

Frank Tilley vs. Joel Thompson d/b/a Sea Side Building Services

Mr. Jones introduced the case and stated that Sea Side Building has failed several times to pass their final inspection; however, Mr. Tilley requested that the case be withdrawn. The shingles were nailed incorrectly and he doesn't want the shingles all lifted to correct the nailing. Due to the complainant's request, Mr. Blackman made a motion to dismiss the case, Mr. Holt seconded the motion. The motion passed with a unanimous decision.

Mr. Frank Harold, Chairman, called a recess at 4:25 p.m.; meeting was called back to order at 4:35 p.m.

New Business (Probable Cause)

Steve & Kathy Jones vs. Joel Thompson d/b/a Sea Side Building Services

Mr. Jones introduced the case; the violation is use of unapproved product, working w/o a permit and failure to follow the manufacture's instructions. Steve and Kathy Jones were in attendance as were David Favre and Larry Fowler representing Sea Side Building. Mr. Randy Jones stated that originally there was no permit pulled. There is now a permit. The product approval for the roofing material is now pending; the Building Department will pass a pending material. The job is not yet complete, the workmanship is poor and there are code violations. Randy showed and explained roofing photos while the Board asked questions.

Mr. Steve Jones stated that he wanted Sea Side Building back out to repair any code violations and areas of poor workmanship. The Board asked more questions, a discussion ensued.

Mr. Favre and Mr. Fowler, representing Sea Side, stated that they are waiting on materials and figure the project will be complete in two (weeks). They both stated that they (Sea Side) would make it right with the homeowners.

Randy told Mr. Favre and Mr. Fowler that he wanted to speak to the qualifier for Sea Side, as he has been unsuccessful in contacting Mr. Thompson.

Mr. Blackman made a motion to table the case until the next meeting; Mr. Holt seconded the motion. The motion passed with

a unanimous decision. The Board requested that any correspondence for the next meeting be forwarded to Mr. Thompson's address is south Florida.

Public Forum

Kenneth Brann vs. Sea Side Building Services

Mr. Kenneth Brann declared his complaint against Sea Side Building Services. He stated that he contracted with Sea Side for a new roof (removal and replacement of 43 squares /50 year Owens Corning Shingles) in November 2004. January of 2005 the roof was complete and he paid Sea Side in full for that completion. The roof has since failed three final inspections, the first time for incorrect nailing and the next times additional discrepancies were noted on the Notice of Corrections. The Board asked questions and a discussion ensued.

Sea Side, Mr. Favre and Mr. Fowler, stated that they would correct the discrepancies and the manufacturer's installation instructions would be applied.

Mr. Blackman made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Holt seconded the motion. The motion carried with a unanimous vote.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:55 p.m.

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2005.

_____

Frank Harold

Chairman

Prepared by Robyn Leverton, Office Assistant

Santa Rosa County Building Inspection Department